

insurance from tax penalties, and sixth, allows the accelerated payment of death benefits from life insurance for the terminally or chronically ill to be paid tax-free.

These are common-sense approaches to a problem faced by more and more families every day and they are long overdue.

Finally, the tax relief bill increases the current estate and gift tax exemption over a 3-year period of time from \$600,000 to \$750,000. Through this modest change, seniors will be able to pass along their family business or family farm to their children and grandchildren without passing along an unmanageable tax burden as well.

In addition to these pro-senior provisions in the tax cut bill, the House overwhelmingly passed H.R. 660—which I am cosponsoring—to ensure that seniors will not be unfairly discriminated against for living in senior housing communities. These communities allow seniors to live amongst their peers and often provide access to services of particular need to seniors—such as periodic blood pressure screenings by local medical personnel.

Despite their increasing popularity amongst the senior population, vague language in the Fair Housing Act has left these communities vulnerable to litigation claiming that they discriminate against younger families. Furthermore, proposed HUD interpretations of this language have placed an insurmountable financial burden on these communities, requiring extravagant services and facilities to qualify for Fair Housing Act exemptions.

The language of H.R. 600 clarifies the definition of an "adult-only" housing community as a residential area consisting of a population of at least 80 percent seniors aged 55 and older. With this clarification, seniors will be able to form neighborhoods which conform to the unique needs of their retirement years without fear of unfair litigation or retribution.

I am proud to have supported each of these measures over my tenure in Congress and to have cosponsored them as part of the Contract With America as well. It is a credit to these past 100 days that we have soundly approved these proposals as the crowning achievement of the contract. America's seniors deserve no less.

THE 20TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE
CLASP CHILDREN'S CENTER

HON. GARY L. ACKERMAN

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, April 6, 1995

Mr. ACKERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to join with my constituents and residents of the Great Neck community in honoring the CLASP Children's Center as they celebrate this organization's 20th anniversary.

In 1975, with the support of a local chapter of the National Council of Jewish Women, CLASP was founded by a group of working parents. Over the years, CLASP has grown in size and developed such an exemplary program that it has been accredited by the National Academy of Early Childhood Programs. Set in a safe, challenging, and noncompetitive surrounding, children are offered a variety of activities that encourage them to express their creativity, and to develop friendships and positive social skills. CLASP reaches out to a vari-

ety of age groups and has established a preschool program for students 2 to 4 years old, before and after school programs for children attending the elementary schools in the Great Neck School District, and a 4-H Club for 8- to 10-year-old participants.

Realizing that CLASP is part of a most dynamic community, the organization joined in 1983 with the Great Neck Senior Center to provide an intergenerational program merging the children and the seniors of the area. This has resulted in a most successful and widely acclaimed effort, giving both age groups a feeling of support and appreciation.

CLASP has also had the good fortune to be able to draw upon the community for continuous support and creative leadership. On its 20th anniversary, as it celebrates this special milestone in this successful growth, CLASP is recognizing a group of individuals who have played a most effective role in helping it grow into such a renowned organization. Irene Lichtenstein has served as a founder and board member; Arlene Kase, current educational coordinator, was a president, board member, and a dynamic supporter of CLASP for legislative issues; Hon. May Newberger, town supervisor of North Hempstead, has for years championed family issues and consistently emerged as a supporter; Rabbi Robert S. Widom, spiritual leader of Temple Emanuel in Great Neck, has taken an ever-present role in community leadership that has greatly benefited CLASP; and Ann Durham, a long-time board member, was effective in assisting CLASP to obtain its initial funding.

Mr. Speaker, from its humble beginnings in a garage in Great Neck, CLASP has compiled over two decades of success, thanks to selfless individuals who have provided exemplary leadership and resources, and a dedicated parent group and a staff that has consistently sought to bring out the best in its students. I ask all my colleagues in the House of Representatives to join with me today in recognizing and applauding the CLASP Children's Center, and in congratulating CLASP on its 20 years of dedicated service and outstanding success.

TRIBUTE TO WESTMINSTER
PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH

HON. GEORGE E. BROWN, JR.

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, April 6, 1995

Mr. BROWN of California. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to pay tribute to the Westminster Presbyterian Church of Ontario, CA, which is celebrating its 100th anniversary on April 23.

The Westminster Church has a dynamic history which I would like to share with my colleagues. The Westminster Church was organized in 1895 when Rev. H.M. MacLeod and 58 members established a Presbyterian Church in Ontario. On August 4, 1895, services were held in the first unit of the new church building at Euclid and E Street in Ontario. This new building was formally dedicated on November 9, 1902.

Due to the rapid growth of the church after World War II, the need for a modern and enlarged church building became apparent. Under the leadership of Rev. C. Eugene Barnard and with the approval of the congrega-

tion, construction of a new church building began. A ground breaking ceremony for the present sanctuary was held on June 15, 1958, with Rev. Raymond H. Gordon as interim pastor.

The Westminster Presbyterian Church operates a day care center, which has been its principal mission since the center's inception on October 6, 1969. The day care center building, known as the Christian education unit, was erected under the leadership of Rev. Don Hawthorne in April 1967 and is also used for Christian education on Sunday. Founded and organized by Directors Helen Brewster and Evelyn Hoehn, the day care center cares for approximately 128 preschool children. Over the years, the directors and staffs of the center have met the needs of each child with a spirit of Christian love and genuine concern.

In March 1975, David T. Anderson came from Paisley, Scotland, with his family to assume the pastorate of Westminster Presbyterian Church.

At this time, I would like to pay special recognition to the church's current minister, Rev. David Mote, who has been providing spiritual guidance for members of the Westminster Church since June 1993, and to Joanne McAleer, who recently became the new director of ministries and volunteer activities. Their dedication to their calling is to be commended.

This past year, the congregation participated in a miracle Sunday, collecting pledges of over \$200,000 in 1 day, to refurbish the church facility in anticipation of its centennial celebration. In celebration of its anniversary, the church will be having a centennial high tea complete with period costumes and an old-fashioned song fest, tours, an art show, the opening of the church's 1958 time capsule, and refreshments.

Westminster Presbyterian Church has served the community in Ontario for over 99 years. Over the years, former ministers, assistant ministers, and church members have made valued contributions to the church. Although I am not able to mention them all by name, I wish to pay tribute to all who have been involved in the church's ministry over the years.

Mr. Speaker, it is a great honor to come before my colleagues today to recognize the dedication and care with which the Westminster Presbyterian Church has served Ontario and its surrounding communities. I ask my colleagues to take a moment and join me in congratulating the Westminster Presbyterian Church on the occasion of its 100th anniversary.

UNITED STATES-RUSSIAN
PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE

HON. JAMES A. TRAFICANT, JR.

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, April 6, 1995

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, under the United States-Russian Partnership for Peace, the United States made a financial commitment to aid Russia's struggling economy in exchange for Russia's cooperation and compliance with international agreements. A reduced threat and defense burden were the expected outcome of the partnership. But after \$12 billion worth of United States investment

in Russia, we are no closer to international arms containment and nuclear non-proliferation.

On the contrary, Russia is the biggest supplier of arms and technology to Iran. To date, Russia has sold Iran three Kilo class submarines, of which two have been delivered; MiG-29 and Su-24 deep airstrike aircraft, of which several have been delivered; and several hundred T-72 tanks, of which a few hundred have been delivered.

At the same time, China announced a 21 percent increase in its annual military budget, to approximately \$7.5 billion. This new Russia-China venture could ultimately alter the balance of naval power in Southeast Asia. With the purchase of 22 new submarines, China would be free to pursue its claims in the South China Sea to Vietnam, Indonesia, Malaysia, and the Philippines.

Furthermore, on January 8, 1995, Russia signed an \$800 million contract with Iran to complete two light water nuclear reactors at the unfinished Bushehr nuclear site, as well as attendant training and services. This action by Russia is in direct violation of the international Iran-Iraq Arms Non-Proliferation Act of 1992 (Title XVI of Public Law 102-484). The act imposes sanctions on countries that "contribute knowingly and materially to the efforts by Iran or Iraq, or any agency or instrumentality of either country, to acquire destabilizing numbers and types of advanced conventional weapons."

Similarly, Russia is in possible violation of many other United States laws which prohibit aid to countries that spread arms and nuclear weapons and related technology. The laws include, but may not be limited to: the Foreign Assistance Act Amendments, the Arms Export Control Act, the Export Administration Act, the Foreign Operations Appropriations Act of fiscal year 1994 and fiscal year 1995.

Finally, in the State Department's annual human rights report, Russia was identified as being in violation of international human rights agreements. In the report, Russia was criticized for the horrifying conditions of its jails and the cruel hazing of military recruits. The most serious violations, however, occurred in the Russian military assault on the breakaway republic of Chechnya where massive aerial bombardment of the capital, Grozny, and the dislocation of thousands of refugees "were in conflict with a number of Russia's international obligations." In its most recent action, Russia reportedly has blocked humanitarian assistance to Chechnya by the International Red Cross.

In my judgment, Russia's, \$800 million nuclear reactor contract with Iran is sufficient evidence alone to cut off United States assistance to Russia. With respect to the Russia's human rights violations, let me remind you that China almost lost Most-Favored-Nation [MFN] trade status with the United States, for less.

As a result, I have introduced H.R. 1418, a bill to prohibit all United States foreign aid and military assistance to Russia for fiscal year 1996, unless the President of the United States certifies to Congress that Russia is not exporting any nuclear technology, offensive military weapons, or other military technology. H.R. 1418, however, exempts U.S. aid in the form of humanitarian assistance or assistance

for the purpose of dismantling nuclear and chemical weapons.

If Members support offensive military weapons containment and nuclear non-proliferation, I urge them to cosponsor H.R. 1418.

CONTRACT WITH AMERICA TAX RELIEF ACT OF 1995

SPEECH OF

HON. WILLIAM P. LUTHER

OF MINNESOTA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, April 5, 1995

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1215) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to strengthen the American family and create jobs:

Mr. LUTHER. Mr. Chairman, as a new Member of the House of Representatives, I wish to explain my opposition to the GOP tax proposal.

For me, the most important issue is not tax fairness or the question of good tax cuts versus bad tax cuts. Many other Members have made those arguments with eloquence and insight.

There are plenty of reasons to vote "no" on this bill. But for me, the best reason to vote "no" is the impact this legislation will have on our efforts to reduce the deficit.

The proponents of this package have argued that the tax breaks they want to create are paid for with spending cuts—and they may well be. But that's not the problem.

The problem is that you can't use the same spending cut twice. If you use a spending cut to pay for a tax break, you can't use it to reduce the deficit.

And reducing the deficit must come first.

For years the national debt has paralyzed our Nation. It has prevented us from dealing with critical issues that will impact our competitiveness as a Nation well into the next century. Past efforts to deal with the deficit have largely failed and our debt now stands at \$4.8 trillion.

Whether we are Democrats or Republicans, we shouldn't risk losing the opportunity we have today to reduce the deficit now and get on the glide path to a balanced budget. Our economy is strong, productivity is up and there is a growing consensus among the public and Members of Congress favoring deficit reduction. Our country's future is too important to let this opportunity pass.

We should capitalize on the momentum we have today by reducing the deficit and finally putting this paralyzing issue behind us so that we can begin focusing on the many other issues affecting our Nation's future.

Mr. Speaker, I came to this Congress to work with Democrats and Republicans to solve the problems facing this country for the people I represent. I've voted for 10 of the 22 items we've voted on in the Contract With America so far so I'd have no hesitation in supporting this bill if it was a good idea like some of the other ideas in the contract.

But this is not a good idea at this time. There is just too much risk for our country.

CONTRACT WITH AMERICA TAX RELIEF ACT OF 1995

SPEECH OF

HON. J. DENNIS HASTERT

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, April 5, 1995

The House in Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1215) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to strengthen the American family and create jobs:

Mr. HASTERT. Mr. Chairman, I am very pleased that the House voted to pass provisions to lift the Social Security earning penalty on older Americans of retirement age from the current level of \$11,280 to \$30,000 by the year 2000. In part, we have seven very special senior citizens to thank for this action. These people came out to Washington to tell their stories this week because America needs to know how the earnings penalty affects its citizens. Therefore, I would like to share these stories with the Nation.

GLORIA DAVIS, MARINA DEL REY, CA

Gloria has worked since she was 16 years old. Two years ago, when she discovered she owed the Social Security Administration \$4,000 for benefits she received after exceeding the earnings limit, she became active in the effort to change the law. The Social Security Administration gave her 30 days to pay. She has told her story on television and through print media and has heard from seniors across the Nation who wrote her after seeing her on television.

Gloria and her husband owned their own business, but went bankrupt in the 1980's. They lost everything and were saddled with debt. So, Gloria doesn't have a retirement income and must work. Gloria, like many older women, worked at jobs which paid little, and sometimes for employers who did not pay into the Social Security System. Her monthly benefit averages \$467.

Gloria has a background in public relations, sales, advertising, and television production. At one time she was State director of the Miss U.S.A./Universe Pageants, Miss America pageant and several other pageants. She has served as an event planner and trade show organizer for many years. Gloria currently works a full time job at Car Barn Airport Parking.

BETTY BOURGEOU, TAYLOR, MI

Betty entered the workforce at age 50 when her husband left her and her children. She worked two part-time minimum wage jobs at a department store and for a security company. She then became a teacher's aide for a Head Start Program, went back to school and became qualified to be a Head Start lead teacher. However, Betty quit teaching Head Start, the job she loved, when she began taking Social Security. She would lose most of her benefits with both jobs. Her department store job included health care benefits she needed, so she remained employed there.

Betty has received several Employee of the Year awards at the department store over the years, accompanied by pay raises. However, when she takes the raises, she must reduce